

Mere Conduit

## Mere Conduit

Is a network operator liable for the content offered by users / service providers?



- Network operator mostly not responsible for content ('mere conduit')
- New OTT-services like Twitter, WhatsApp, Skype and Zoom make distinction unclear
- Networks and social media platforms can be used for the dissemination of illegal, harmful or damaging content (e.g. trolls, DDoS-attacks or Ponzi-schemes)
- The network operators has a gatekeeper function and a possible insight in the traffic ⇒ possible role in enforcement
- General regulatory approach re. network operators and service providers:
  - Obligation to take (proportionate) measures in case of illegal content
  - Permission to take action in case of serious indications of potentially harmful content
- Balancing act:
  - Protection of privacy and freedom of expression ⇒ no content monitoring
  - Protection of intellectual property rights ⇒ limited content monitoring
  - Protection of society against fake news, fraudulent financial transactions and harmful content ⇒ content monitoring



## Examples of Mere Conduit approaches in Regulation

- EU (E-Commerce Directive of 2000)
  - Service provider is not liable for the information transmitted, provided the provider
    - Does not initiate the transmission
    - Does not select the receiver of the transmission, and:
    - Does not select or modify the information contained in the transmission
  - No obligation to monitor the information transmitted
  - European Commission is working on the Digital Services Act with new rules for the removal of illegal and (possibly) harmful content
- Early attempts of IPR-protection in France and New Zealand: three strikes rules
  - 1. ISP should notify users downloading illegal or harmful content
  - 2. After three notifications, the ISP/operator may be imposed a penalty
  - 3. <u>Impacts on copyright infringements</u> are unclear. Technological developments reduce usefulness (VPN, blockchain)
- Until 2018 Germany had slower Wi-Fi roll-out because providers of free Wi-Fi services were held liable for copyright infringements by users. <u>As a result the services were hardly offered</u>.
- United States of America
  - <u>Protection for "Good Samaritan" blocking</u>: service providers are entitled to block material considered obscene, lewd, lascivious, filthy, excessively violent, harassing, or otherwise objectionable
  - <u>Federal law prohibits broadcasting obscene</u>, indecent and profane content on radio or TV. Broadcaster is liable ⇒ deliberate delays in broadcasting of live shows. Obscenity is prohibited on all media. Indecency only applies to services that are not subscriber-based.